



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TRENDS IN EMERGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TRENDS IN EMERGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Volume 4; Issue 3; 2026; Page No. 248-252

Received: 14-02-2026
Accepted: 27-04-2026
Published: 30-05-2026

Ecological Anxiety and Himalayan Literary Imagination: Environmental Humanities and the Crisis of Development in Contemporary Indian Discourse

Aishwarya Singh

Research Scholar, Maharaja Agrasen Himalayan Garhwal University, Pauri Garhwal, Uttarakhand, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23069271>

Corresponding Author: Aishwarya Singh

Abstract

Contemporary Indian literature increasingly engages with the ecological pressures produced by development, urban expansion, infrastructural intervention, and changing patterns of human settlement. These concerns acquire particular significance in the Himalayan region, where environmental vulnerability is closely connected with cultural memory, livelihood, mobility, and place-based identity. This paper examines how selected writings by Ruskin Bond, Namita Gokhale, Pankaj Bisht, and Stephen Alter represent ecological anxiety through altered landscapes, spatial disruption, emotional unease, and changing human-environment relations.

The study employs qualitative close reading within the interdisciplinary frameworks of ecocriticism and environmental humanities. It focuses on narrative form, landscape imagery, cultural memory, spatial organisation, and the representation of development. Rather than treating the Himalayas as a picturesque setting, the paper reads them as an active literary space in which ecological transformation becomes socially and emotionally legible. The analysis suggests that environmental crisis in these texts is rarely communicated through direct environmental argument alone. It often emerges through atmosphere, silence, loss, displacement, disrupted seasonal rhythms, and the gradual transformation of familiar places.

The paper also identifies a critical gap in the treatment of Himalayan writing within Indian literary studies. Although regional identity and landscape aesthetics have received attention, the relationship between ecological anxiety, developmental change, and cultural memory requires more sustained examination. By bringing environmental humanities into dialogue with Himalayan literary studies, this paper argues that literary narratives can function as interpretive ecological archives. They preserve experiences of environmental change that may remain invisible within dominant narratives of progress. The study consequently positions Himalayan literary imagination as an important site for examining the cultural, ethical, and affective dimensions of ecological modernity in contemporary India.

Keywords: Ecocriticism, Ecological Anxiety, Environmental Humanities, Himalayan Literature, Regional Discourse

Introduction

Contemporary Indian literature is increasingly shaped by the material and emotional consequences of ecological disruption. Climate vulnerability, deforestation, infrastructural expansion, urbanisation, and the transformation of rural economies have altered the relationship between communities and their environments. Literature responds to these changes not only by describing damaged landscapes but also by examining the memories, anxieties, and social tensions that emerge when familiar ecological worlds begin to change.

The Himalayan region offers a particularly significant

context for such an inquiry. Mountains, forests, rivers, roads, settlements, and seasonal cycles are closely connected with everyday life. They are also shaped by tourism, migration, road construction, hydropower projects, market expansion, and administrative development. As a result, the Himalayas cannot be understood only as a scenic or cultural space. They are also a region where ecological vulnerability and developmental ambition frequently intersect.

Environmental humanities provides a useful framework for examining this intersection. It challenges the separation of environmental questions from culture, history, ethics, and

representation. Cheryll Glotfelty and Harold Fromm establish the relationship between literary representation and the physical environment as a central concern of ecocritical inquiry. Their work enables literary studies to examine how texts construct environmental values, human responsibility, and relationships with nonhuman life (Glotfelty and Fromm). Greg Garrard develops this field by exploring the cultural meanings of wilderness, pastoralism, pollution, and environmental crisis. His approach is useful for analysing the ways in which literary landscapes are shaped by social assumptions and cultural histories (Garrard).

Dipesh Chakrabarty demonstrates that climate change unsettles conventional historical frameworks by connecting human history with planetary processes. His argument makes it possible to understand ecological crisis as a challenge to established ideas of time, agency, and modernity (Chakrabarty). Amitav Ghosh, meanwhile, examines the difficulty of representing climate instability through conventional literary realism. His discussion of the relationship between climate change and literary form is relevant to narratives in which ecological disruption appears through fragmented memory, uncertain temporality, and atmospheric disturbance (Ghosh).

The selected writings of Ruskin Bond, Namita Gokhale, Pankaj Bisht, and Stephen Alter present different approaches to Himalayan experience. Bond's writing is frequently associated with intimacy, sensory detail, and the emotional life of landscape (Bond). Gokhale's fiction explores questions of place, gender, memory, and social transformation (Gokhale). Bisht's work engages with regional experience and the pressures of modernity (Bisht), while Alter's writing reflects on the cultural and ecological meanings of the Himalayan environment (Alter). These writers should not be treated as a uniform literary group. Their differences are important because they reveal varied forms of ecological perception.

The present study argues that ecological anxiety in Himalayan literature is not limited to explicit descriptions of environmental damage. It is also produced through the gradual disturbance of familiar relationships between people, places, and nonhuman life. A forest may appear as memory before it becomes a site of loss. A road may signify mobility while also suggesting fragmentation. A changing season may register ecological instability without being explained through scientific language. Such representations show how literature translates environmental change into lived and affective experience.

This paper therefore reads selected Himalayan writings as sites of ecological meaning-making. It examines how landscape, memory, development, and emotional experience are brought into relation. The central argument is that Himalayan literary imagination offers a critical vocabulary for understanding ecological modernity as uneven and contested rather than as a simple movement towards progress.

Literature Review

Ecocritical scholarship has questioned the assumption that nature and culture exist as separate domains. In *The Ecocriticism Reader*, Cheryll Glotfelty and Harold Fromm establish the relationship between literary representation and the physical environment as a central concern of ecocritical

inquiry (Glotfelty and Fromm). Their work enables literary studies to examine how texts construct environmental values, human responsibility, and relationships with nonhuman life. Greg Garrard extends this field by exploring wilderness, pastoralism, pollution, and the cultural construction of nature (Garrard).

Environmental humanities expands this discussion by bringing literary studies into conversation with history, ethics, geography, and political ecology. Dipesh Chakrabarty's work on climate and planetary history demonstrates that ecological crisis challenges human-centred understandings of time and historical agency (Chakrabarty). Amitav Ghosh examines the difficulty of representing climate instability through conventional literary realism and draws attention to the relationship between environmental crisis and literary form (Ghosh). Together, these scholars suggest that environmental crisis is also a crisis of imagination and narrative representation.

In the Indian context, environmental literary studies must remain attentive to cultural location and regional specificity. Swarnalatha Rangarajan emphasises the importance of reading ecological concerns through literary and cultural frameworks rather than treating them as exclusively scientific or technical questions (Rangarajan). Such an approach is particularly relevant to Himalayan writing, where environmental experience is connected with community memory, livelihood, spiritual practice, migration, and changing patterns of settlement.

The writings of Ruskin Bond, Namita Gokhale, Pankaj Bisht, and Stephen Alter provide a varied body of material for examining these concerns. Their works represent the Himalayas through memory, landscape, cultural change, and human vulnerability (Bond; Gokhale; Bisht; Alter). Yet the aesthetic appeal of the region can sometimes overshadow the social and political tensions embedded in its representation. A landscape may be beautiful and vulnerable at the same time; a road may provide access while also disturbing ecological continuity; and a settlement may preserve cultural memory while experiencing rapid transformation.

The present study builds upon existing ecocritical and environmental humanities scholarship but focuses more specifically on ecological anxiety as a literary and affective condition. It examines how environmental change becomes visible through spatial rupture, altered rhythms of everyday life, memory, silence, and emotional disturbance. In doing so, it treats Himalayan literary writing as an interpretive ecological archive rather than as a homogeneous category of nature writing.

Research Gap

Although ecocriticism has expanded the scope of literary studies beyond human-centred interpretation, the relationship between Himalayan writing, ecological anxiety, and developmental change requires further sustained analysis. Existing ecocritical approaches have established the importance of nature-culture relations, environmental ethics, and literary representations of ecological crisis (Glotfelty and Fromm; Garrard). However, the specific relationship between Himalayan literary imagination, developmental transformation, and cultural memory remains insufficiently examined within the framework of

environmental humanities.

Regional literary studies often emphasise landscape, cultural identity, scenic beauty, or nostalgia. These perspectives remain valuable, but they do not fully explain how environmental disruption is connected with mobility, displacement, infrastructural expansion, and the transformation of everyday life. The selected writings of Bond, Gokhale, Bisht, and Alter offer different narrative approaches through which these tensions can be explored (Bond; Gokhale; Bisht; Alter).

This study addresses that gap by examining selected Himalayan writings through close reading and environmental humanities. It investigates how ecological change is narrated through landscape, memory, affect, and development discourse. The study does not claim that all Himalayan writing presents a single environmental experience. Instead, it identifies recurring concerns while preserving differences among the selected texts.

Methodology

The study adopts a qualitative and interpretive research design grounded in close reading. Its primary objective is to examine how ecological anxiety is produced through literary form, narrative imagery, spatial representation, and cultural memory. The research is non-empirical and does not employ surveys, statistical models, or field experiments. Its evidence is drawn from selected literary texts and interpreted through ecocritical and environmental humanities frameworks.

Close reading is used to examine the representation of mountains, forests, rivers, roads, settlements, weather, and seasonal change. These elements are not treated as passive scenery. The analysis considers how they influence narrative movement, character experience, emotional atmosphere, and the construction of place. Attention is also given to moments when ecological transformation is suggested through silence, absence, altered memory, or a change in the rhythm of everyday life.

The study combines textual analysis with contextual interpretation. Developmental processes such as tourism, road construction, infrastructural expansion, urbanisation, and changing patterns of settlement are considered where they are relevant to the literary texts. These contextual concerns are not used to replace textual analysis. Rather, they help clarify the social and political implications of literary representations.

The theoretical framework draws primarily upon ecocriticism and environmental humanities. Glotfelty and Fromm provide the foundational understanding of literature's relationship with the physical environment (Glotfelty and Fromm), while Garrard supports an examination of the cultural construction of nature and the entanglement of environmental and social processes (Garrard). Chakrabarty's account of planetary crisis helps situate ecological vulnerability within broader historical frameworks (Chakrabarty). Ghosh's discussion of literary form contributes to the analysis of indirect, fragmented, and atmospheric representations of environmental instability (Ghosh). Rangarajan's approach supports the importance of culturally situated ecological interpretation (Rangarajan).

The selected authors are examined comparatively but not homogeneously. The purpose of comparison is to identify

shared concerns—such as ecological loss, spatial change, cultural memory, and developmental tension—while also recognising differences in narrative style and ideological emphasis. The analysis therefore remains attentive to the particular literary strategies through which each writer constructs Himalayan experience.

The method is also reflexive. Ecological meanings are not imposed mechanically upon the texts. They emerge through sustained attention to imagery, tone, narrative pacing, spatial organisation, and the relationship between human and nonhuman presence. This approach allows ecological anxiety to be understood not only as a stated theme but also as an emotional and formal condition embedded within literary representation.

Results

The close reading indicates that ecological consciousness in the selected texts is embedded in both subject matter and narrative form. The Himalayan environment appears as a changing ecological presence rather than a stable background. Landscape is shaped by memory, movement, development, and the emotional responses of characters.

A central result concerns the representation of ecological anxiety as an everyday experience. Environmental change does not always appear as a sudden catastrophe. It is frequently registered through gradual alterations in familiar surroundings, seasonal patterns, animal presence, vegetation, and settlement structures. Such changes create a sense of uncertainty because they disturb the continuity of ordinary life. This pattern is particularly relevant to Bond's intimate treatment of landscape and atmosphere (Bond).

The selected writings also present development as an ambivalent process. Roads, tourism, and infrastructure can provide mobility and access, yet they may also produce fragmentation, pressure on resources, and the disruption of established relationships with place. Development therefore appears neither as an entirely positive force nor as a uniformly destructive one. Its consequences remain uneven and contested. This tension can be examined through the regional and developmental concerns present in the works of Gokhale, Bisht, and Alter (Gokhale; Bisht; Alter).

Another result concerns the importance of ecological memory. The past is often recalled through places, objects, seasons, and forms of social interaction that are no longer fully available. Memory consequently becomes a record of environmental transformation. What has disappeared, altered, or become inaccessible may carry as much significance as what remains.

The analysis further shows that landscape often performs a narrative function. Forests, rivers, mist, roads, and mountain settlements influence emotional tone and narrative direction. They help organise the relationship between personal experience and broader ecological conditions. In this sense, the environment participates in the production of meaning.

The texts also register a tension between traditional rhythms of mountain life and accelerated forms of mobility and market expansion. Ecological disruption is represented through the gradual transformation of everyday practices rather than only through spectacular disaster. This slow form of change is important because it demonstrates how environmental crisis becomes normalised within ordinary experience.

Finally, ecological anxiety is frequently communicated through affect rather than direct environmental argument. Unease, displacement, incompleteness, silence, and emotional fatigue become literary indicators of ecological instability. The results therefore suggest that Himalayan writing expands environmental representation beyond explicit ecological themes and incorporates crisis into atmosphere, memory, and narrative structure.

Discussion

The results demonstrate that Himalayan literary discourse functions as a meeting point of ecological, cultural, and political meanings. The selected texts do not simply reproduce environmental change. They shape the ways in which such change is perceived, remembered, and emotionally understood.

This finding supports the ecocritical emphasis on the entanglement of nature and culture. The Himalayan landscape is not external to human identity. It is connected with memory, livelihood, social relations, and cultural belonging. When the landscape changes, the consequences are therefore not limited to physical damage. They also affect the continuity of personal and collective experience (Garrard).

The representation of development is especially significant. The texts suggest that modernisation produces contradictory effects. Roads and infrastructure may increase access, but they can also transform the ecological and cultural character of a place. Tourism may create economic opportunities while placing pressure on land, water, and local systems of life. Such tensions complicate linear narratives of progress. The regional perspectives found in the selected writings of Gokhale, Bisht, and Alter are useful for understanding this contradiction (Gokhale; Bisht; Alter).

The discussion also reveals the importance of literary affect. Environmental crisis is not always represented through factual explanation or direct political statement. It may appear through the tone of a passage, the description of an altered landscape, the memory of a vanished place, or the silence surrounding an ecological loss. This affective dimension is particularly important in Bond's landscape-centred writing, where ecological concerns are frequently conveyed through intimacy and atmosphere (Bond).

Chakrabarty's account of planetary crisis helps explain why ecological instability unsettles established ideas of historical continuity. In the selected Himalayan texts, familiar landscapes often become uncertain spaces. The past remains present through memory, while the future appears difficult to predict. The region consequently becomes a local expression of a wider planetary condition (Chakrabarty).

Ghosh's discussion of literary form is also relevant. The selected writings do not necessarily represent ecological crisis through a single dramatic event. Instead, they often employ fragmented memory, atmospheric description, gradual change, and unresolved tension. These strategies allow environmental disruption to enter the structure of narrative without being reduced to a simple message (Ghosh).

The study further challenges the limited categorisation of Himalayan writing as regional or scenic literature. Such labels may overlook the political and ecological implications of the texts. The selected works engage with

questions of development, displacement, cultural continuity, and environmental ethics (Bond; Gokhale; Bisht; Alter). Their regional location does not diminish their critical significance. Rather, it provides a specific context from which wider questions of ecological modernity can be examined.

At the same time, the study recognises that the selected writers do not speak from identical social or literary positions. Their representations of the Himalayas differ in tone, genre, and emphasis. The value of comparison lies not in producing uniformity but in showing how ecological anxiety takes different literary forms across a shared yet internally diverse region.

Research Findings

The comparative analysis produces five principal findings.

First, ecological anxiety is represented as a gradual disturbance of everyday life. It emerges through altered landscapes, seasonal uncertainty, ecological absence, and emotional unease rather than through explicit environmental argument alone.

Second, the Himalayan environment functions as an active narrative presence. Mountains, forests, rivers, roads, and settlements influence memory, atmosphere, character experience, and narrative movement.

Third, development is represented as an uneven and ambivalent process. It may enable mobility and economic access, but it can also generate ecological fragmentation, cultural displacement, and pressure on fragile environments. Fourth, cultural memory operates as an ecological archive. Literary recollection preserves environmental changes and losses that may not be fully visible within dominant accounts of modernisation and progress.

Fifth, the selected texts demonstrate that ecological crisis is also a crisis of feeling and representation. Silence, fragmentation, atmosphere, and incompleteness become important literary strategies for communicating environmental uncertainty.

These findings are consistent with the broader environmental humanities argument that ecological crisis must be studied through cultural memory, ethics, representation, and historical consciousness rather than through scientific explanation alone (Chakrabarty; Ghosh; Rangarajan).

Conclusion

This study has examined how selected writings by Ruskin Bond, Namita Gokhale, Pankaj Bisht, and Stephen Alter represent ecological anxiety within the changing Himalayan environment. The analysis demonstrates that the region is not merely a geographical setting. It is a contested ecological and cultural space in which development, memory, mobility, and environmental vulnerability intersect.

The selected texts represent ecological disruption through multiple literary strategies. Environmental change appears in altered landscapes, fragmented memories, disrupted rhythms of life, emotional uncertainty, and the gradual transformation of familiar places. Such representations show that ecological crisis cannot be understood only through spectacular disasters or explicit environmental statements. It is also experienced through ordinary changes that reshape

relationships between people and place.

The study further demonstrates that development remains a complex and contradictory force in Himalayan literary imagination. It may create access and opportunity, but it can also disturb ecological continuity and weaken established cultural relationships. Literature does not resolve this contradiction into a simple moral conclusion. Instead, it preserves the tension and makes its consequences visible.

From the perspective of environmental humanities, the findings confirm that literature is an important form of ecological knowledge. Literary texts do not replace scientific or policy-based accounts of environmental change. They contribute an account of memory, feeling, cultural meaning, and lived experience. They reveal how ecological loss enters personal consciousness and collective identity (Glotfelty and Fromm; Rangarajan).

The paper therefore argues that Himalayan writing deserves to be read beyond the categories of scenic description and regional narration. Its literary landscapes carry questions of environmental ethics, development, cultural survival, and political ecology. The region's ecological vulnerability gives these narratives a wider significance within contemporary Indian literary studies.

Future research may extend this study through oral histories, archival materials, regional languages, community narratives, and comparative work across Himalayan societies. Such expansion would strengthen the place-sensitive character of environmental humanities and encourage a closer engagement between literary interpretation and lived ecological experience.

References

1. Alter S. *Feral Dreams: An Anthology of Himalayan Writing*. New Delhi: Aleph Book Company; c2018.
2. Bisht P. *The First Indira Gandhi Library*. New Delhi: Rupa Publications; c2014.
3. Bond R. *Selected Short Stories*. New Delhi: Penguin Books India; c2015.
4. Chakrabarty D. *The Climate of History in a Planetary Age*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; c2021.
5. Garrard G. *Ecocriticism*. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; c2012.
6. Ghosh A. *The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; c2016.
7. Glotfelty C, Fromm H, editors. *The Ecocriticism Reader: Landmarks in Literary Ecology*. Athens (GA): University of Georgia Press; c1996.
8. Gokhale N. *Things to Leave Behind*. New Delhi: HarperCollins India; c2016.
9. Rangarajan S. *Ecocriticism: Big Ideas and Practical Strategies*. New Delhi: Orient BlackSwan; c2018.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.