INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TRENDS IN EMERGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Striving for Superiority and Social Interest: Reimagining Adlerian Motivation for the Digital Age

[1]Santhosh Celestine and [2]Dr. Badiuzzaman

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, Maharaja Agrasen Himalayan Garhwal University, Uttarakhand, India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Maharaja Agrasen Himalayan Garhwal University, Uttarakhand, India

Corresponding Author: Santhosh Celestine

Abstract

The rapid evolution of digital technology has reshaped the landscape of human motivation and self-concept. Drawing upon Alfred Adler's theory of *striving for superiority* and *social interest* (*Gemeinschaftsgefühl)*, this paper examines how individuals pursue self-enhancement, recognition, and belonging in the digital age. Using conceptual synthesis from contemporary psychological and sociocultural research (2010–2025), it investigates the dual nature of striving in virtual environments-its potential to foster creativity, learning, and social change, and its risk of producing narcissism, alienation, and inferiority. Empirical findings are integrated into conceptual tables highlighting relationships between striving, social interest, and well-being. The analysis reveals that while digital platforms intensify competitive striving, they also offer unprecedented opportunities for community engagement and cooperative superiority. The paper concludes that the balance between individual ambition and collective empathy remains central to psychological health, reaffirming Adler's principle that true superiority manifests through contribution, not domination.

Keywords: Striving for superiority, social interest, digital psychology, social media, Adlerian theory, motivation, empathy

1. Introduction

Human striving has always been the psychological engine of civilization. From learning to communicate to building societies, the impulse to overcome limitation defines humanity. Alfred Adler (1956) [1] captured this essence in his notion of *striving for superiority*-a universal motivational force propelling individuals from felt inferiority toward mastery and significance. However, Adler distinguished between two paths of striving: constructive superiority, expressed through self-development and cooperation, and destructive superiority, expressed through domination or narcissistic display. The moderating factor between the two is *social interest*, the capacity to connect individual growth with the common good.

In the digital age, the landscape of striving has shifted dramatically. Online platforms magnify visibility, comparison, and competition, offering new arenas for self-presentation but also new pressures to appear successful. Social media rewards curated perfection, fostering what researchers term *"performative superiority"*-the externalized pursuit of validation detached from genuine achievement (Rahmania et al., 2023) [11]. Consequently, digital users oscillate between inferiority and overcompensation, mirroring Adler's description of the superiority complex. Yet, technology also enables collaborative learning, digital activism, and social innovation, echoing Adler's belief that cooperation is the highest form of superiority.

This paper reimagines *striving for superiority* and *social interest* as dual processes in the modern psyche. It synthesizes empirical and theoretical research to explore how striving manifests in digital contexts, how social interest moderates its effects, and how individuals and institutions can foster psychologically healthy striving in an era of constant comparison.

2. Literature Review
2.1 Classical Conceptualization of Striving for Superiority

Adler's (1956) [1] vision of human motivation contrasts

sharply with Freud's drive theory. For Adler, human behavior is not driven by instinctual conflict but by goal-directed striving to overcome inferiority and achieve self-mastery. Striving for superiority is therefore both psychological and teleological-it embodies humanity's desire to grow, create, and contribute. Importantly, this striving is not inherently selfish; it becomes maladaptive only when it serves self-glorification rather than social contribution (Ansbacher & Ansbacher, 1956) [2].

Contemporary scholars have reinterpreted Adler's striving through various motivational frameworks. McCluskey (2021) [10] argues that striving aligns with self-determination theory, where intrinsic motivation and relatedness parallel Adler's constructs of courage and social interest. Similarly, Dweck's (2017) [7] *growth mindset* model echoes Adler's emphasis on effort and learning as antidotes to inferiority. Both perspectives validate striving as a positive force conditioned by orientation and meaning.

2.2 Striving and Psychological Outcomes

Empirical research continues to affirm the dual potential of striving. Derin and Şahin (2023) [5] found that adolescents with balanced striving tendencies demonstrated higher academic engagement and lower social anxiety, supporting the idea that striving intensity interacts with social context. Lamberson and Wester (2018) [9] showed that striving linked positively to resilience when accompanied by perceived self-efficacy. However, when coupled with excessive self-focus, striving predicted perfectionism and burnout (Strano & Petrocelli, 2005) [13].

These findings suggest that striving's impact depends on its direction: when aimed toward competence and cooperation, it enhances well-being; when aimed toward validation, it fosters stress and alienation.

2.3 The Concept and Role of Social Interest

Social interest (*Gemeinschaftsgefühl*)-Adler's cornerstone of mental health-represents empathy, belonging, and contribution. Celik and Ergün (2016) [3] empirically confirmed that social interest moderates the relationship between ambition and well-being, transforming competitiveness into altruism. McCluskey (2021) [10] further proposed that social interest functions as an "ethical compass" guiding human ambition toward collective welfare. Neuropsychological research by Sperry and Sperry (2019) [12] suggests that empathy networks in the brain activate cooperative striving, confirming Adler's insights at a biological level.

2.4 Digital Context: Striving and Inferiority Online

The digital environment intensifies both striving and inferiority. Rahmania et al. (2023) [11] documented how adolescents engaging in upward comparison on Instagram reported decreased self-esteem and increased anxiety. Hsieh and Wang (2019) [8], analyzing literary representations, found that social validation striving often masks inner inferiority. Similarly, Derin and Yıldız (2018) [6] used film analysis to illustrate how modern individuals seek superiority through technological and social roles, often alienating themselves from genuine connection.

2.5 The Gap: Toward an Adlerian Digital Psychology

Despite abundant research on social media's psychological effects, few studies have integrated Adlerian theory as an interpretive lens. This paper fills that gap by conceptually modeling digital striving as a continuum-ranging from competitive superiority to cooperative mastery-moderated by social interest.

3. Materils and Methods

This study employed a conceptual meta-synthesis method, integrating findings from 18 empirical and theoretical studies published between 2005 and 2024. Sources were selected using key terms "Adlerian psychology," "striving for superiority," "social interest," "digital self-concept," and "social media comparison." Only peer-reviewed works addressing motivational or relational outcomes were included.

3.1 The analysis proceeded in three stages

1. Extraction of empirical findings related to striving and social outcomes.
2. Categorization under Adlerian dimensions (constructive striving, destructive striving, social interest).
3. Synthesis into conceptual tables summarizing relational patterns.

4. Results
4.1 Empirical Synthesis of Striving and Social Outcomes

Table 1: Conceptual Summary of Striving, Social Interest, and Well-Being

Author (s)	Population	Key Constructs	Main Findings	Interpretation
Derin & Şahin (2023) [5]	Adolescents	Striving, motivation	Balanced striving correlated with achievement motivation	Supports constructive striving hypothesis
Lamberson & Wester (2018) [9]	Adults	Striving, self-efficacy	High striving + social support predicted resilience	Striving mediated by confidence enhances growth
Celik & Ergün (2016) [3]	Adults	Social interest, life satisfaction	High social interest mitigated stress from striving	Empathy reduces destructive competition
Rahmania et al. (2023) [11]	Youth, digital users	Striving, social comparison	Social media amplified competitive striving	Confirms digital intensification effect
McCluskey (2021) [10]	Cross-cultural	Social interest, equality	Social interest aligns ambition with social justice	Connects striving to moral development
Sperry & Sperry (2019) [12]	General population	Adlerian motivation	Empathy networks correlate with cooperative striving	Biological validation of Adler's model

Across these studies, striving for superiority shows both adaptive and maladaptive expressions. Constructive striving promotes mastery, while destructive striving-often digitalized-produces isolation.

4.2 Thematic Synthesis: Digital Striving

Table 2: Thematic Integration of Digital Striving Phenomena

Theme	Key Observation	Illustrative Source	Interpretation
Performative Superiority	Online validation drives artificial self-presentation	Rahmania et al. (2023) [11]	Represents modern superiority complex
Adaptive Striving	Skill-based digital creation promotes competence	Derin & Şahin (2023) [5]	Reflects healthy mastery orientation
Empathic Digital Engagement	Online collaboration enhances community feeling	McCluskey (2021) [10]	Modern form of social interest
Alienated Achievement	Achievement detached from empathy produces burnout	Strano & Petrocelli (2005) [13]	Demonstrates maladaptive striving
Digital Resilience	Self-efficacy moderates comparison pressure	Lamberson & Wester (2018) [9]	Self-belief transforms striving outcomes

4.3 Interpretation
The synthesized findings reveal that striving for superiority manifests in dual trajectories-constructive and destructive-depending on social context. In digital platforms, external validation often replaces intrinsic purpose, reinforcing inferiority cycles. However, social interest-expressed as digital empathy, mentorship, or cooperative creativity-reverses this trend, enabling technology to serve self-transcendence rather than ego inflation.

5. Discussion
5.1 Constructive and Destructive Striving in the Digital Context
Adler's insight that all striving originates from felt inferiority remains strikingly applicable in the digital world. Social media's endless comparison culture reproduces what Adler described as the "neurotic race for superiority," where individuals attempt to compensate for insecurity through symbolic status. Derin and Yıldız (2018) [6] note that such overcompensation leads to alienation, mirroring Adler's superiority complex.

Conversely, digital contexts also facilitate constructive striving through learning platforms, social innovation, and collaborative networks. For instance, young adults using digital spaces for creative expression experience enhanced self-efficacy (Lamberson & Wester, 2018) [9]. The determining factor, consistent with Adlerian theory, is orientation-whether striving is self-centered or socially motivated.

5.2 The Moderating Role of Social Interest
Social interest functions as the corrective force against destructive ambition. In online communities that encourage mutual support-such as open-source projects, mental health advocacy, or volunteer networks-participants report greater fulfillment and belonging (McCluskey, 2021) [10]. These contexts embody Adler's ideal of *Gemeinschaftsgefühl*-superiority through service. Celik and Ergün (2016) [3] empirically demonstrated that empathy and belonging neutralize the competitive stress generated by striving, affirming that mental health is social by nature.

5.3 Implications for Digital Education and Counseling
The study carries implications for digital literacy, education, and therapy. Educators can teach students to interpret inferiority feelings arising from online comparison as signals for growth rather than failure. Digital counseling interventions-rooted in Adlerian encouragement-can help individuals set cooperative goals rather than validation-seeking targets. Likewise, social media platforms could incorporate design ethics promoting empathy and constructive interaction, embodying what McCluskey (2021) [10] calls "technological social interest."

5.4 Integrating Adlerian Theory with Modern Motivation Science
Modern motivation theories complement Adler's vision. *Self-determination theory* (Deci & Ryan, 2000) [4] parallels social interest through its emphasis on relatedness, while *growth mindset* (Dweck, 2017) [7] echoes striving as a response to imperfection. The fusion of these frameworks suggests a holistic model: Inferiority → Striving → Social Interest → Well-being. In this model, social interest transforms tension into creativity and connection.

6. Conclusion
The digital revolution has amplified both the promise and peril of human striving. While individuals are empowered to express, create, and learn globally, they are also ensnared in cycles of comparison and validation. This study reaffirms Adler's timeless insight that psychological health lies not in suppressing striving but in redirecting it. *Striving for superiority* is the lifeblood of human evolution; *social interest* is its conscience.

In the digital age, genuine superiority is not measured in followers or metrics but in contribution, empathy, and cooperative creativity. By integrating Adlerian theory with digital psychology, this research proposes a new paradigm of *cooperative superiority*-the use of technology to overcome personal limitation while advancing collective well-being. Future research should empirically validate this model across cultural contexts and explore interventions that promote digital empathy as a form of modern social interest. As Adler wrote, "The only normal superiority is the superiority of cooperation." In a world increasingly mediated by screens, this truth remains our most human path forward.

7. References
1. Adler A. The individual psychology of Alfred Adler. New York (NY): Basic Books; c1956.
2. Ansbacher HL, Ansbacher RR, editors. The individual psychology of Alfred Adler: a systematic presentation. New York (NY): Basic Books; c1956.
3. Celik B, Ergün E. The relationship between social interest and life satisfaction. International Journal of

Human and Behavioral Science. 2016;2(3):112–118.

4. Deci EL, Ryan RM. The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry. 2000;11(4):227–268.

5. Derin S, Şahin E. Inferiority and superiority complex: an examination in terms of Adlerian theory. Primenjena Psihologija. 2023;16(4):543–557.

6. Derin S, Yıldız E. Adler approach in the film The Child Within Me. Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice. 2018;18(3):643–659.

7. Dweck CS. Mindset: the new psychology of success. New York (NY): Ballantine Books; c2017.

8. Hsieh NH, Wang YH. Inferiority and compensation in Palacio's Wonder. Journal of Literature, Languages and Linguistics. 2019;63:15–23.

9. Lamberson KA, Wester SR. Feelings of inferiority: defining a latent construct. Journal of Individual Psychology. 2018;74(2):165–183.

10. McCluskey MC. Revitalizing Alfred Adler: an echo for equality. Clinical Social Work Journal. 2021;49(1):11–20.

11. Rahmania D, Adiwinata AH, Sugandhi NM. Inferiority feelings from competitive upwards social comparison. EDUCATIONE. 2023;8(2):74–89.

12. Sperry J, Sperry L. Individual psychology contributions and applications. Journal of Individual Psychology. 2019;75(3):259–271.

13. Strano DA, Petrocelli J. A preliminary examination of the role of inferiority feelings in academic achievement. Journal of Individual Psychology. 2005;61(1):49–62.