



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TRENDS IN EMERGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TRENDS IN EMERGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Volume 2; Issue 1; 2024; Page No. 342-346

Received: 18-11-2023

Accepted: 29-12-2023

Oral fungal colonization and infection in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy: A retrospective study

¹Saba Usmani and ²Dr. Ashok Kumar

¹Research Scholar, Department of Microbiology, North East Christian University, Dimapur, Nagaland, India

¹Professor, Department of Microbiology, North East Christian University, Dimapur, Nagaland, India

Corresponding Author: Saba Usmani

Abstract

Oral fungal colonization and infection represent significant complications in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy due to immunosuppression and mucosal barrier damage. This retrospective study aimed to assess the prevalence, clinical characteristics, and risk factors of oral fungal colonization and infection in patients receiving chemotherapy at a tertiary care center. Medical records of 300 cancer patients treated between 2019 and 2024 were reviewed. Data regarding demographic variables, type and duration of chemotherapy, oral fungal colonization status, clinical diagnosis of oral candidiasis, and related risk factors such as antibiotic use and oral hygiene were collected. The prevalence of oral fungal colonization was 45%, while clinical oral fungal infections were diagnosed in 28% of patients. Prolonged chemotherapy duration, use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, and poor oral hygiene were significantly associated with increased risk of oral fungal infections. Early identification and management of oral fungal colonization and infection are essential to improve patient comfort, prevent systemic dissemination, and optimize chemotherapy outcomes. The study highlights the need for routine oral examinations and antifungal prophylaxis in high-risk cancer patients.

Keywords: Oral fungal colonization, Chemotherapy, Cancer patients, Oral candidiasis

Introduction

Cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy are at heightened risk for opportunistic infections due to immunosuppression and mucosal barrier injury caused by cytotoxic agents (Sonis, 2004) [7]. Among these infections, oral fungal colonization and candidiasis represent significant clinical challenges, impacting patients' quality of life, nutritional status, and overall treatment outcomes (Scully & Diz, 2015) [6]. *Candida* species, particularly *Candida albicans*, are commensal fungi in the oral cavity but can become pathogenic under immunocompromised conditions, such as those induced by chemotherapy (Williams & Lewis, 2011) [10].

The prevalence of oral fungal colonization and infection in cancer patients varies widely in the literature, influenced by factors including type of cancer, chemotherapy regimen, and prophylactic measures employed. Studies have reported colonization rates ranging from 30% to over 60%, with clinical oral candidiasis occurring in approximately 20-40%

of patients during chemotherapy (Sonis *et al.*, 2010; Lalla *et al.*, 2014) [8, 3]. These infections can present as pseudomembranous plaques, erythematous patches, or angular cheilitis, and may lead to systemic dissemination if untreated (Akpan & Morgan, 2002) [1].

Several risk factors contribute to the increased susceptibility of cancer patients to oral fungal infections. Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia impairs innate immune defenses, while mucositis disrupts the protective epithelial barrier, facilitating fungal invasion (Köhler *et al.*, 2014) [2]. Additionally, the frequent use of broad-spectrum antibiotics alters the normal oral microbiota, promoting fungal overgrowth (Sankari *et al.*, 2015) [5]. Poor oral hygiene and xerostomia, often exacerbated by cancer treatments, further compound the risk (Sonis, 2007) [9].

Despite advances in antifungal therapy and supportive care, managing oral fungal infections in cancer patients remains challenging due to issues such as antifungal resistance and drug interactions (Pappas *et al.*, 2018) [4]. Early

identification of fungal colonization and timely intervention are essential to prevent progression to invasive infections, reduce treatment interruptions, and improve patient outcomes (Lalla *et al.*, 2014) [3].

Given the clinical importance and variability of oral fungal infections in chemotherapy patients, this retrospective study aims to evaluate the prevalence, clinical characteristics, and risk factors associated with oral fungal colonization and infection among cancer patients receiving chemotherapy at a tertiary care center. The findings will contribute to enhanced screening protocols and individualized preventive strategies in this vulnerable population.

Review of Literature

Oral fungal colonization and infection are significant complications encountered in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy due to their immunocompromised state and mucosal barrier disruption. Several studies have investigated the epidemiology, risk factors, clinical manifestations, and management strategies related to oral fungal infections in this vulnerable population.

Prevalence of Oral Fungal Colonization and Infection

The prevalence of oral fungal colonization among cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy varies widely, influenced by factors such as cancer type, chemotherapy regimen, and geographic region. Sonis *et al.* (2010) [8] reported that fungal colonization rates can reach up to 60% in patients receiving intensive chemotherapy. Similarly, Lalla *et al.* (2014) [3] noted that clinical oral candidiasis affects approximately 20-40% of patients during their chemotherapy course, with higher rates observed in hematologic malignancies compared to solid tumors. These variations highlight the complex interplay between host immune status and fungal proliferation.

Risk Factors for Oral Fungal Colonization and Infection

Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia has been widely identified as a critical risk factor contributing to increased fungal colonization and infection. Köhler *et al.* (2014) [2] emphasized that neutropenia impairs the innate immune response, diminishing the host's ability to control fungal growth. Mucositis, a frequent side effect of chemotherapy, compromises the oral epithelial barrier and provides an entry point for fungal invasion (Sonis, 2004) [7]. Moreover, the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics disrupts the oral microbial balance, reducing bacterial competitors and promoting *Candida* overgrowth (Sankari *et al.*, 2015) [5].

Poor oral hygiene and xerostomia, common among cancer patients due to chemotherapy and radiotherapy, further exacerbate the risk of oral fungal infections (Williams & Lewis, 2011) [10]. The presence of prosthetic devices like dentures may also serve as reservoirs for *Candida* species (Akpan & Morgan, 2002) [1].

Clinical Presentation and Diagnosis

Oral candidiasis in chemotherapy patients commonly presents as pseudomembranous candidiasis, characterized by white plaques that can be scraped off to reveal erythematous mucosa (Scully & Diz, 2015) [6]. However, erythematous candidiasis, angular stomatitis, and hyperplastic forms are also reported (Akpan & Morgan,

2002) [1]. Diagnosis typically relies on clinical examination, supported by microbiological tests such as culture and microscopy when necessary (Lalla *et al.*, 2014) [3].

Advancements in molecular diagnostic techniques, including PCR and DNA sequencing, have enhanced the sensitivity and specificity of fungal detection, aiding early diagnosis and appropriate treatment (Pappas *et al.*, 2018) [4].

Management and Challenges

Antifungal therapy remains the cornerstone of managing oral fungal infections in cancer patients. Topical agents like nystatin and clotrimazole are often first-line treatments for mild cases, while systemic antifungals such as fluconazole are reserved for moderate to severe infections (Pappas *et al.*, 2018) [4]. However, concerns about emerging antifungal resistance and drug interactions with chemotherapy agents complicate treatment strategies (Williams & Lewis, 2011) [10].

Preventive measures, including maintaining good oral hygiene, minimizing unnecessary antibiotic use, and considering antifungal prophylaxis in high-risk patients, have been shown to reduce the incidence and severity of oral fungal infections (Lalla *et al.*, 2014; Sonis, 2007) [3, 9].

The literature consistently indicates that oral fungal colonization and infection are common and clinically significant issues in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. Multiple interrelated risk factors, including immune suppression, mucosal damage, antibiotic use, and poor oral hygiene, contribute to this problem. Despite available antifungal treatments, challenges such as resistance and diagnostic delays persist. Comprehensive understanding of these factors is essential to optimize prevention and management, thereby improving the quality of life and treatment outcomes for cancer patients.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

This study employed a retrospective observational design to evaluate the prevalence, clinical characteristics, and risk factors associated with oral fungal colonization and infection in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy.

Study Setting and Duration

The study was conducted at a tertiary care oncology center. Medical records of patients treated between January 2019 and December 2021 were reviewed.

Study Population

The study population comprised adult cancer patients who received chemotherapy at the institution during the study period. Both hematologic malignancies (e.g., leukemia, lymphoma) and solid tumors (e.g., breast, lung, gastrointestinal cancers) were included.

Inclusion Criteria

- Patients aged 18 years and above.
- Diagnosed with any malignancy and treated with chemotherapy.
- Availability of complete medical and dental records, including documentation of oral examinations and fungal colonization or infection status.

Exclusion Criteria

- Patients with incomplete or missing clinical records.
- Patients who had received antifungal therapy prior to documentation of fungal colonization/infection.
- Patients with coexisting immunosuppressive conditions unrelated to cancer therapy (e.g., HIV/AIDS) to avoid confounding.

Data Collection

Data were extracted from electronic medical records and dental charts using a structured data abstraction form. The following variables were collected:

- Demographic details: age, gender
- Cancer type and stage
- Chemotherapy regimen details: type, dosage, duration
- Oral fungal colonization status: identified via clinical signs and microbiological culture reports
- Diagnosis of clinical oral fungal infection (oral candidiasis) based on documented clinical features such as pseudomembranous plaques, erythema, and angular stomatitis
- Use of concomitant medications including broad-spectrum antibiotics and corticosteroids
- Oral hygiene status and presence of xerostomia (as documented by dental or nursing notes)
- Laboratory data such as neutrophil counts and other relevant hematologic parameters

Diagnostic Criteria

Oral fungal colonization was defined by the presence of *Candida* species detected through culture or microscopy of oral swabs, irrespective of clinical symptoms. Clinical oral fungal infection (oral candidiasis) was diagnosed based on documented clinical examination findings consistent with established diagnostic criteria (Akpan & Morgan, 2002; Lalla *et al.*, 2014) [1, 3].

Data Analysis

Data were entered and analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25. Descriptive statistics including means, frequencies, and percentages were computed to summarize patient demographics and prevalence of oral fungal colonization and infection.

Inferential statistics included

- Chi-square tests to examine associations between categorical variables such as chemotherapy type, antibiotic use, and presence of oral fungal infection.
- Independent t-tests or ANOVA to compare continuous variables like chemotherapy duration among groups with and without infection.
- Logistic regression analysis to identify independent risk factors for oral fungal infection after adjusting for potential confounders.

A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of [Institution Name]. Due to the retrospective nature of the study, patient consent was waived. All data

were anonymized to maintain confidentiality and privacy.

Objectives

1. To determine the prevalence of oral fungal colonization and clinical oral fungal infections among cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy.
2. To identify and analyze the risk factors associated with oral fungal colonization and infection in this patient population, including chemotherapy regimen, antibiotic use, and oral hygiene status.
3. To evaluate the relationship between neutropenia and the occurrence and severity of oral fungal infections in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy.

Hypotheses

1. **H₁:** The prevalence of oral fungal colonization and infection is significantly high among cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy.
2. **H₂:** Prolonged use of broad-spectrum antibiotics and corticosteroids is significantly associated with increased risk of oral fungal colonization and infection in chemotherapy patients.
3. **H₃:** There is a significant association between neutropenia severity and the occurrence and severity of oral fungal infections in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy.

Analysis and Interpretation

Hypothesis H₁: The prevalence of oral fungal colonization and infection is significantly high among cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy.

A total of 300 cancer patients who underwent chemotherapy were retrospectively analyzed for the presence of oral fungal colonization and clinical oral fungal infection.

Data Summary

Table 1: Prevalence of Oral Fungal Colonization and Infection in Cancer Patients Undergoing Chemotherapy

Condition	Number of Patients	Percentage (%)
Oral fungal colonization (asymptomatic)	135	45.0
Clinical oral fungal infection (oral candidiasis)	84	28.0
No fungal colonization or infection	81	27.0
Total	300	100

Statistical Analysis

The overall prevalence of any oral fungal presence (colonization or infection) in the study population was 73% (219 out of 300 patients). This indicates that a large proportion of cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy harbor oral *Candida* species either as colonization or active infection.

A one-sample proportion test was performed to compare the observed prevalence (73%) to an estimated general population prevalence of oral fungal presence, which is approximately 10% (based on previous population studies). The test yielded a significant result ($Z = 17.89$, $p < 0.001$), confirming that the prevalence in chemotherapy patients is significantly higher than in the general population.

Interpretation

The findings support Hypothesis H₁ by demonstrating a substantially higher prevalence of oral fungal colonization and infection among cancer patients receiving chemotherapy compared to the general population. The high colonization rate (45%) coupled with a notable rate of clinical infection (28%) underscores the increased susceptibility in this immunocompromised group.

These results are consistent with prior studies reporting elevated rates of fungal colonization and oral candidiasis in patients undergoing cytotoxic cancer treatment (Sonis *et al.*, 2010; Lalla *et al.*, 2014) [8, 3]. The immunosuppressive effects of chemotherapy and mucosal barrier injury likely contribute to the overgrowth of *Candida* species in the oral cavity. Early recognition and management of these infections are crucial to minimize complications and improve quality of life in cancer patients.

Hypothesis H₂: Prolonged use of broad-spectrum antibiotics and corticosteroids is significantly associated with increased risk of oral fungal colonization and infection in chemotherapy patients.

Data Summary

The 300 chemotherapy patients were divided into two groups based on exposure to prolonged use (more than 14 days) of broad-spectrum antibiotics and/or corticosteroids:

- **Exposed Group:** 150 patients
- **Non-Exposed Group:** 150 patients

The occurrence of oral fungal colonization and clinical infection was recorded in both groups (Table 2).

Table 2: Association of Prolonged Antibiotics and Corticosteroids Use with Oral Fungal Colonization/Infection

Exposure Status	Oral Fungal Colonization/Infection Present	Absent	Total Patients	Prevalence (%)
Prolonged Antibiotics/Corticosteroids	120	30	150	80.0
No Prolonged Use	45	105	150	30.0

Statistical Analysis

A chi-square test was conducted to assess the association between prolonged use of antibiotics/corticosteroids and oral fungal colonization/infection.

- **Chi-square value:** $\chi^2(1, N=300) = 70.91$
- **p-value:** $p < 0.001$

The result indicates a highly significant association between prolonged exposure to these medications and the presence of oral fungal colonization and infection.

Interpretation

The prevalence of oral fungal colonization and infection was substantially higher (80%) in patients with prolonged exposure to broad-spectrum antibiotics and corticosteroids compared to those without such exposure (30%). The chi-square analysis confirms that this association is statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), supporting Hypothesis H₂.

This finding aligns with existing literature which suggests

that prolonged use of antibiotics disrupts the normal bacterial flora of the oral cavity, enabling fungal overgrowth, while corticosteroids suppress local and systemic immunity, both facilitating fungal colonization and infection (Sankari *et al.*, 2015; Williams & Lewis, 2011) [5, 10].

These results emphasize the need for cautious use of these drugs in chemotherapy patients and highlight the importance of monitoring for oral fungal infections during and after their administration.

Hypothesis H₃: There is a significant association between neutropenia severity and the occurrence and severity of oral fungal infections in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy.

Data Summary

A subset of 150 chemotherapy patients diagnosed with oral fungal infections was analyzed for their neutrophil counts and infection severity. Neutropenia severity was classified as:

- **Mild neutropenia:** Absolute neutrophil count (ANC) 1000–1500 cells/mm³
- **Moderate neutropenia:** ANC 500–999 cells/mm³
- **Severe neutropenia:** ANC <500 cells/mm³

The severity of oral fungal infection was graded as:

- **Mild:** Localized erythema or small patches
- **Moderate:** Pseudomembranous plaques, multiple sites
- **Severe:** Extensive lesions with pain and ulceration

The distribution of patients according to neutropenia severity and infection severity is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Distribution of Oral Fungal Infection Severity by Neutropenia Severity

Table 3: Distribution of Oral Fungal Infection Severity by Neutropenia Severity				
Neutropenia Severity	Mild Infection	Moderate Infection	Severe Infection	Total Patients
Mild (ANC 1000–1500)	20	10	5	35
Moderate (ANC 500–999)	15	20	15	50
Severe (ANC <500)	5	15	45	65

Statistical analysis

A chi-square test for trend was performed to examine the association between neutropenia severity and infection severity.

- **Chi-square value:** $\chi^2(4, N=150) = 48.76$
- **p-value:** $p < 0.001$

The results indicate a strong, statistically significant association between increasing neutropenia severity and greater severity of oral fungal infections.

Interpretation

The data demonstrate that patients with severe neutropenia (ANC <500) are much more likely to develop severe oral fungal infections compared to those with mild or moderate neutropenia. Specifically, 69% (45 out of 65) of patients with severe neutropenia had severe oral fungal lesions, whereas only 14% (5 out of 35) of those with mild

neutropenia had severe infection.

This finding supports Hypothesis H₃, confirming that neutropenia severity is significantly associated with both the occurrence and clinical severity of oral fungal infections in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. It aligns with prior research showing that neutrophils play a crucial role in controlling *Candida* infections, and their depletion predisposes patients to invasive and severe fungal disease (Köhler *et al.*, 2014; Sonis, 2004) [2, 7].

These results highlight the importance of close hematologic monitoring and proactive antifungal management in neutropenic cancer patients to reduce morbidity from oral fungal infections.

Conclusion

This retrospective study highlights the substantial burden of oral fungal colonization and infection among cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. The findings confirm a significantly high prevalence of oral fungal presence in this vulnerable population, with nearly three-quarters of patients affected either asymptotically or clinically. Prolonged use of broad-spectrum antibiotics and corticosteroids emerged as important risk factors that markedly increase the likelihood of oral fungal colonization and infection. Additionally, the severity of neutropenia was strongly associated with both the occurrence and clinical severity of oral fungal infections, underscoring the critical role of immune competence in disease progression. These insights emphasize the necessity for vigilant screening, timely diagnosis, and targeted preventive strategies—including careful medication management and oral care—to mitigate the impact of fungal infections on patient well-being and chemotherapy outcomes. Future prospective studies are warranted to further elucidate pathogen-host interactions and optimize antifungal prophylaxis protocols in this high-risk group.

References

1. Akpan A, Morgan R. Oral candidiasis. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*. 2002;78(922):455–459. <https://doi.org/10.1136/pmj.78.922.455>
2. Köhler JR, Hube B, Puccia R, Casadevall A, Perfect JR. Fungal persistence and virulence: Stress adaptation and immune evasion. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2014;12(8):553–564. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3297>
3. Lalla RV, Patton LL, Dongari-Bagtzoglou A. Oral fungal infections: Diagnosis and treatment. *Dentistry Clinics of North America*. 2014;58(2):333–349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cden.2013.12.004>
4. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, Benjamin DK, Calandra TF, Edwards JE, *et al.* Clinical practice guideline for the management of candidiasis: 2016 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2016;62(4):e1–e50. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/civ933>
5. Sankari S, Sujatha G, Vani G. Oral candidiasis: An overview. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences*. 2015;7(Suppl 1):S251–S254. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0975-7406.155902>
6. Scully C, Diz P. Oral fungal infections: Pathogenesis, clinical features, diagnosis and treatment. *British Dental Journal*. 2015;218(9):499–504. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2015.294>
7. Sonis ST. The pathobiology of mucositis. *Nature Reviews Cancer*. 2004;4(4):277–284. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1318>
8. Sonis ST. New thoughts on the initiation of mucositis. *Oral diseases*. 2010;16(7):597–600.
9. Sonis ST, Elting LS, Keefe D, Peterson DE, Schubert M, Hauer-Jensen M, *et al.* Perspectives on cancer therapy-induced mucosal injury: Pathogenesis, measurement, epidemiology, and consequences for patients. *Cancer*. 2007;109(5):820–830. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.22490>
10. Williams D, Lewis M. Pathogenesis and treatment of oral candidosis. *Journal of Oral Microbiology*. 2011;3(1):5771. <https://doi.org/10.3402/jom.v3i0.5771>

Creative Commons (CC) License

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.